

**AGENDA –JUNE 16, 2022**  
**SOUTHWEST COLORADO OPIOID REGIONAL COUNCIL**  
[ZOOM \(VIRTUAL\) 10:30AM](#)

A. Meeting was called to order at 10:30am

**Voting Members:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Attending</b>	<b>Absent</b>
Elizabeth Smith	X	
Kari Commerford	X	
Quinn Archibeque		X
John Baier		X
Greg Levine	X	
Sandy Hines		X
Sue Hansen	X	
Jennifer Sherwood	X	
Hilary Cooper	X	
Bill Masters		X
Justin Perry	X	
Carol Friedrich		X

**Non-Voting Members**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Attending</b>	<b>Absent</b>
Renee Joyce		X
Dr. Paul Hokemeyer		X
Dr. Bill Gattis		X
Dr. John Tarr	X	
Sarah Stangbye	X	
Jenny Birnie		X
Adrienne Christie		X
Doug Hanshaw	X	
Judge Steve Patirck		X
John Pandolpho	X	
Susan Lacy		X
Steve Otero		X
Melissa Hall		X
Wendy Crank		X
Cory Jenkins	X	
Mary Beth Kelly	X	

- a. Other Attendees – Paul Reich, Center for Mental Health, Jessica Eaddy Colorado Consortium, Sarah Lampe, Trailhead Institute
- B. Approval of the agenda –
- a. Greg Levine moved to approve, Sue Hansen seconded. Approved.

- C. Approval of May 5, 2022 minutes
  - a. Sue Hansen move to approve and Greg Levine seconded. Approved.
- D. Opioid Data Dashboards and interoperability/data-sharing
  - a. [Adams County/Rocky Mountain Partnership](#) (example)
  - b. Liz Smith– Adams County done by Rocky Mountain Partnership is an example of a data dashboard.  
<https://acyi.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=4cb3531cd5af4aa9acc26004f9f3bdcc>
  - c. Sets a good template for the metrics. We can decide what are the useful metrics? Rocky Mountain Partnership is willing to do a presentation. One positive is the “voice & perspective” – insights and human dimension to the metrics.
  - d. Kari Commerford – Can we partner with these organizations to provide our data?
  - e. Liz Smith – it is integrated with the State and those are the conversations that need to take place.
  - f. Sarah Lampe – Pay into an RFP process or into the Rocky Mountain Partnership to do this. The level of detail they have they don’t have a plan to do statewide.
- E. Opt-in/opt-out email to local government primary contacts
  - a. Local government contacts have received an email to opt in/opt out. Asking everyone to make aware that it is out there with a deadline of July 31.
- F. 2-Year Plan – Sarah Lampe
  - a. Centrally Deployed, Regionally Coordinated, Locally Implemented
    - i. “We ensure our communities impacted by substance use disorder in our resourced, connected and have pathways to prosperity” – change prosperity to “healthy lives”
  - b. Criminal Justice
    - i. Add “begin implementation” so that our 2-year plan isn’t just creating a plan. “Develop and implement”
    - ii. Add a second strategy that allows implementation in year 2.
    - iii. <https://www.samhsa.gov/criminal-juvenile-justice/sim-overview>
  - c. Harm Reduction
    - i. Add needle disposal as part of needs/gaps analysis as a strategy. Do other communities have any need for needle disposal or exchange? Kiosks on the Front Range but seems hard to expand into the rural areas.
  - d. Recovery
    - i. Include an implementation phase as part of the strategy
    - ii. Creating a guide to better communicate what is available or a “navigator” not just the referral but making sure there is a connection
    - iii. <https://uniteus.com/>
    - iv. Ensure this about coordinating as a region to allow access to resources. Not replicating resources
  - e. Treatment
    - i. Looking for more action in the strategy. Does feasibility have to come first to define the “action”?
  - f. Prevention
  - g. Allocation spreadsheet

- i. Huge chunk coming in year one. Concerns about spending a lot on a feasibility study and not having funds for implementation?
  - ii. Are there creative ways to meet the needs that we already have access to without investing in a feasibility study?
  - iii. Who hires and employees the data consultant?
  - iv. Consider how to track and equity across the region. Could be a different approach to the FTE. Do you place them in different regions?
  - v. Positions would be long-term positions. FTE would be implementing the plan. See the descriptions on the spreadsheet. Do we already have positions that could expand and make it more sustain\$300K in year two. You can carry funds from one year to the next.
  - vi. Final - Take the feasibility out and allows funding to move forward into year two.
- h. Greg Levine made a motion to approve the two year plan as presented. Liz Smith asked that we amend the motion to include the changes presented in the meeting. Seconded by Kari Commerford. Approved.
  - i. Action Item – Sarah will submit to the State.
- G. Next steps – responses from SWCORC council
  - a. Action Item: Who might be interested in working on a smaller group? Liz will send that email to begin creating the smaller group who will discuss the plan in finer detail.
- H. Citizen Comments
  - a. None
- I. Next Meeting
  - a. July 7 at 10:30am
- J. Adjourn at 12:20pm